UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENT AND Reply Refor Region III — 6th & Walnut Str.
To 3EN11 Philadelphia, Pa. 19100

572

SURJECT Meeting With DER . Asbestos Waste Piles

DATE: APR 2 0 1970

FROM:

Abraham Ferdus 3EN11 Ale Gentur

TO;

Leland Marshall 3ENLL Chief, Pa., W.Va. & Del. Sources Section

On April 14, 1976, a meeting was held between EPA and Pennsylvania DER-Region I in their Norristown offices. The reason for the meeting was to discuss the efforts of both agencies on dealing with the asbestos waste piles in Ambler, Pennsylvania.

Participants:

ASS

Weiter F. Lee - Industrial Fermits Branch Jon H. Hundertmark - Industrial Fermits Branch Abraham Ferdss - Air Compliance Branch

DER-Region I

Setty McCoubrey - Office of Enforcement C.T. Seechwood - Regional Sanitary Engineer Wayne L. Lynn - Regional Solid Waste Director Reo Kona - Regional Air Engineer Leon T. Gonshor - Regional Industrial Hygisnist

This memo will be limited to only the discussion of it concerns air regulations. There were considerable discussions on the tasue of asbestos in drinking water and the ongoing sampling program (see W. Lee).

Also, discussions on the NPDS permits (see J. Hundertmark).

The meeting was divided into discussions of the individual companies involved.

Micolat

At the present time, Nicolat is under a DER order calling for at lization of the piles and discontinuation of duspine. Sixelet has appete the order. At the same time, DER stated that remonable progress is make with Nicolat. The appeal was mainly for the purpose of project! Bicolat's rights and to object to the short time acheddes given in the Nicolat has agreed to stop domping any additional waste by July, 1976.

FPA 44 541 147

will be done by installing recycling equipment. They have also agreed to undertake a long-term program to stabilize the piles by vegetation or other means. Nicolat has a contract with the University of Pennsylvania to acude and give recommendation on adultions for the problem. PER feels that two years is not a reasonable length of time to achieve a final solution to the problem. Therefore, they requested from us not to address the figure stabilization of the piles as part of NESHAPS enforcement.

I summarized the finding of my inspection of Nicolet on April 8, 1976. This included my findings that there were no warning signs posted and that the fence did not include the whole perimeter of the piles. Fancing was more present on the southwest side of the piles adjacent to the nature preserve and the Wissehicken Crock. Also, fencing was not present on the area fact the Reading Railroad tracks. Also, I mentioned Nicolet's request for a Waiver to install recycling equipment extending to Saptember 1, 1977.

DER stated that there are problems with fencing the entire perimeter the piles due to flood plains and they are also not needed in some areas of to natural barriers; but, agreed with me that additional fencing is requi:

We agreed that EFA will send a letter to Mr. Gonshor asking him to evaluate the additional fencing required. Also, request material available on the agreed schedule to recycle the waste extending to July, 1976. I stated that we would like their input on the above points due to their granouledge of the area and their ability to evaluate the problem using an intermedia approach.

DER is also planning to run with contractual help a long time air so program for asbestos to determine the impact of the inactive piles. That contract will be awarded soon.

I received from Mr. Genshor, a copy of the Pennswivania Department Health study on possible health hazards of the asbestos piles dated Augu 1975. I think there is a need to review carefully this study before we dismiss any possible 303 action. At this time, it is not clear who will the review, but the Office of Toxic Substances has shown an interest spe if there are any problems with the drinking water.

Certain-teed

Tertain-teed is under a consent order with DER to stop dumping on piles and fonce. The fonce along the nile is complete excent for a sec at the nouthwest side of the pile which faces the nature preserve. No are posted along the fonce. The recycling system is completed and the sompany has stopped dumping asbestos slurry from the waste water treatm plant. The company continues to dump rejected asbestos pipe in the pile.

DER expects to be successful in stopping them from dumping the pig July, 1976. It was not clear-to me how, but it seems they believe the